

Transportation Staff Meeting

December 19, 2012

2:00 PM

CMGC 601

AGENDA

1. TIP Projects (Messera)

Description:

Discuss the following STP-DA projects:

- a. U-5110, McKee Road Section E (US 74 to Stevens Mill Road)
- b. U-5115, NC 51/Matthews-Mint Hill Road intersection improvements

2. MOU Revisions (Cook & Landa)

Description:

Discuss the following topics:

- a. Voting
- b. Thoroughfare Plan/Comprehensive Transportation Plan amendments
- c. TCC membership

See attachments for additional information.

Access number: 704-432-5485

Vote Distribution Scenario

(discussed by MOU subcommittee at 12/12/12 meeting)

Jurisdiction	Population 2010 Census Including Sphere	Percent of Est. Future Planning Area Population Total	Current Voting Members & Number of Votes	Number of Votes Each Jurisdiction Gets 1
Charlotte	766,289	59.9%	16	29**
Cornelius	25,062	2.0%	1	2
Davidson	11,637	0.9%	1	1
Fairview	3,324	0.3%		1
Hemby Bridge	1,520	0.1%		1
Huntersville	48,734	3.8%	2	2
Indian Trail	33,518	2.6%	1	2
Iredell County*	74,086	5.8%		2
Lake Park	3,422	0.3%		1
Lincoln County*	29,803	2.3%		2
Marshville	2,772	0.2%		1
Marvin	5,579	0.4%		1
Matthews	27,198	2.1%	2	2
Mecklenburg County*	758	0.1%	2	2
Mineral Springs	2,639	0.2%		1
Mint Hill	28,443	2.2%	2	2
Monroe	33,884	2.6%	2	2
 Mooresville	38,541	3.0%		2
Pineville	11,507	0.9%	1	1
Stallings	13,835	1.1%	1	1
Statesville	28,930	2.3%		2
Troutman	3,802	0.3%		1
Union County*	44,926	3.5%	2	2
Unionville	5,929	0.5%	1	1
Waxhaw	9,859	0.8%	1	1
Weddington	9,459	0.7%	1	1
Wesley Chapel	7,643	0.6%	1	1
Wingate	5,855	0.5%	1	1
NC BOT			1	2^
Total	1,278,954	100.0%	39	70

*County population figures are areas outside municipal corporate limits

**Assumes same percentage of vote as existing MOU

^One vote each for Divisions 10 & 12

2003 Memorandum of Understanding

Thoroughfare Plan/Comprehensive Transportation Plan Amendment References

Proposed changes were discussed at the December 12, 2012 Transportation Staff meeting.

Text with the ~~strikethrough~~ feature is proposed to be deleted.

Text with the underline feature is proposed to be added.

Section I-E-12 (page 4)

The MUMPO is responsible for conducting public involvement and technical analyses to determine the preliminary alignments for transportation projects (both road and transitway) included in the Long Range Transportation Plan. These alignments will be used by local jurisdictions through their land development ordinances for right-of-way protection purposes. Once the MUMPO has adopted an official thoroughfare alignment, the alignment can only be modified by:

- a. Official MUMPO action; or
- b. Action of the MUMPO's Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC), (which is described in Section I.H of this Memorandum of Understanding) under the following criteria:
 - i. The TCC finds the proposed alignment to be technically reasonable; and,
 - ii. The proposed alignment enters and exits the affected property at the officially approved location and angle or curvature; and
 - iii. The TCC finds that the proposed alignment does not move closer than ~~500~~ 400 feet to an adjacent land owner's property boundary; or
 - iv. If the proposed alignment is already within ~~500~~ 400 feet of an adjacent property, the shift in the alignment is away from the property: or;
 - v. If the shift moves the proposed alignment no more than 25% closer to the property.

The MUMPO adopts the alignment for right-of-way purposes even if the alternatives are produced through a State or locally funded environmental study process. MUMPO decisions are subject to the voting guidelines contained in Section I.G of this Memorandum of Understanding.

Section I-G (page 6)

MUMPO Voting Policy

1. A simple majority (weighted) vote shall determine all issues except as provided in 2, 3 and 4 below.
2. When any project is on a road that does not carry an I., U.S., or N.C. route designation, and is totally contained within a single municipality's corporate limits or sphere of influence, its location shall be determined only with the consent of that municipality.

3. ~~The MUMPO cannot override the position of any individual local municipality on a project for a road that does not carry an I, U.S., or N.C. route designation when any portion of the project is within that municipality's corporate limits or sphere of influence except by 3/4 majority vote of all votes eligible to be cast.~~
3. When any project is on a road that does not carry an I, U.S., or N.C. route designation, the MUMPO cannot override the position of any individual local municipality when any portion of the project is within the municipality's corporate limits or sphere of influence, except by 3/4 majority vote of all votes eligible to be cast.

Note: The intent of the proposed change to I-G-3 is not to change the intent of the section, but instead to rephrase it for purposes of clarity.

Technical Coordinating Committee Membership

The following lists the current TCC membership as listed in the MOU, plus additions based upon MOU Subcommittee direction provided to date.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Charlotte Department of Transportation Key Business Executive Director 2. Charlotte-Douglas International Airport Aviation Director 3. Charlotte Engineering and Property Management Key Business Executive Director 4. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Bicycle Coordinator 5. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission Department Key Business Executive Director 6. City of Monroe 7. <u>City of Statesville</u> 8. <u>Iredell County</u> 9. <u>Lincoln County</u> 10. <u>Mecklenburg County Engineering and Building Standards Department Director¹</u> 11. <u>Mecklenburg County Department of Environmental Protection Director¹</u> 12. The Metropolitan Transit Commission's Chief Transit Officer 13. N.C. Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Division 10 - Division Engineer | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 14. <u>NCDOT Division 12-Division Engineer</u> 15. NCDOT Public Transportation Division Director 16. NCDOT Statewide Transportation Planning Branch Manager 17. Town of Davidson 18. Town of Cornelius 19. <u>Town of Fairview</u> 20. <u>Town of Hemby Bridge</u> 21. Town of Huntersville 22. Town of Indian Trail 23. Town of Matthews 24. <u>Town of Marshville</u> 25. <u>Town of Marvin</u> 26. <u>Town of Mineral Springs</u> 27. Town of Mint Hill 28. <u>Town of Mooresville</u> 29. Town of Pineville 30. Town of Stallings 31. <u>Town of Troutman</u> 32. Town of Unionville 33. Town of Waxhaw 34. Town of Weddington 35. <u>Village of Lake Park</u> 36. Village of Wesley Chapel 37. Town of Wingate 38. Union County |
|--|---|

¹Mecklenburg County representation must change to reflect that the Engineering & Building Standards and Environmental Protection departments have been consolidated into the Land Use and Environmental Services Agency (LUESA).

Suggested Additions

The following lists suggested additions to the TCC made at the December 12, 2012 MOU Subcommittee meeting.

- Centralina COG
- Lake Norman Transportation Commission
- Greenway planner-Carolina Thread Trail or Mecklenburg County Park & Recreation greenway planner
- UNCC Urban Institute
- Chamber of Commerce